UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/669,920	09/23/2003	David W. Morris	20366-066001; PP23362.000	2631		
Lisa E. Alexand	7590 02/03/201 [,] ler	EXAMINER				
Sagres Discover		HARRIS, ALANA M				
c/o Chiron Corp P.O. Box 8097	oration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Emeryville, CA	94662-8097	1643				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/03/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,920	MORRIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 No</u>	ovember 2009.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61,71,72,74,77-79,81,85-89 and 91-9</u>	3 is/are pending in the application	า.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61,71,72,74,77-79,81, 85-89 and 91-</u>	93 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau	•	Ü
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment and Arguments

1. Claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85-89 and 91-93 are pending.

Claims 61, 71, 77-79, 81, 85 and 87-89 have been amended.

Claims 91-93 have been added.

Claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85-89 and 91-93 are examined on the merits.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Grounds of Rejection Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of claims 87-89 and 93 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn.

New Grounds and Maintained Rejection Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1643

5. Claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85-89 and 91-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants broadly claim methods of diagnosing breast cancer comprising detecting differential expression of complement receptor type 1 (CR1) gene in a patient sample, wherein evidence of differential expression is detected by measuring the level of an expression product of CR1 and wherein the expression product is a mRNA having a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1320 and detecting duplexes with an hybridization assay. Applicants assert CR1 is a gene that encodes a single pass transmembrane glycoprotein that has the ability to bind key components of the complement cascade and can inhibit both the classical and alternative pathways, see Remarks, page 6. Zhang et al./ U.S. Patent Application Publication number US 2007/0099251 A1 (published May 3, 2007) has sequence 14579 that shares 97% with Applicants' SEQ ID NO: 1320 and is noted as a complement C3b/C4b receptor-like protein, see sequence alignment following the rejection. It is art known that the complement system comprises a multitude of proteins circulating in blood plasma, hence the complement system and its component exists in normal individuals as well as those that my have breast cancer. It is not clear whether or not one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/669,920

Art Unit: 1643

Page 4

skill in the art can effectively and discriminately implement the claimed assay given the protein in the claimed invention exists naturally. It stands to reason that SEQ ID NO: 1320 would be detected in all patient samples, both cancerous and non-cancerous. The specification does not exemplify examples supporting that at the time of the claimed invention was made that Applicants were able to discriminately diagnose any cancers given CR1 ubiquitous nature. There needs to be some valid amount of direction or guidance, as well as presence or absence of working examples presented in the specification that would enable one skilled in the art to perform the method as presented in the recited claims. It appears that undue experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art to practice the instant claimed invention using the teachings of the specification. See Exparte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 BPAI, 1986. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

```
RESULT 2
US-11-582-861-14579
; Sequence 14579, Application US/11582861
; Publication No. US20070099251A1
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
; APPLICANT: Zhang, Hui
  APPLICANT: Aebersold, Rudolf H.
  TITLE OF INVENTION: TISSUE- AND SERUM-DERIVED GLYCOPROTEINS
; TITLE OF INVENTION: AND METHODS OF THEIR USE
; FILE REFERENCE: 460092.404
  CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/11/582,861
; CURRENT FILING DATE: 2006-10-17
; PRIOR APPLICATION NUMBER: US 60/728,044
  PRIOR FILING DATE: 2005-10-17
; NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 14918
; SOFTWARE: FastSEQ for Windows Version 4.0
; SEQ ID NO 14579
   LENGTH: 1793
   TYPE: DNA
   ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
US-11-582-861-14579
```

Art Unit: 1643

	al :	Similarity 6; Conserva	99.9%;	Pred.				Length Indels	1793 0;	; Gaps	0;
QУ	34	ACTCAGAAGGG									93
Db	1	ACTCAGAAGGG									60
Qу	94	TCACGGGGTCT									153
Db	61	TCACGGGGTCT									120
ДĀ	154	CCCGGCGCTTT									213
Db	121	CCCGGCGCTTT									180
QУ	214	ATCAATGCAAT									273
Db	181	ATCAATGCAAT									240
ОĀ	274	TTGAGTTTCCC									333
Db	241	TTGAGTTTCCC									300
QУ	334	CGTTTTCTATC									393
Db	301	CGTTTTCTATCA	ATCTGCC	TAAAAAA	CTCAGTCT	GGACAA	AGTG	GCTAAGGA	CAAGT	GCAAAC	360
Qу	394	GTAAATCATGT(453
Db	361	GTAAATCATGT	CGTAATC	CTCCAGA	TCCTGTGA	ATGGCA	ATGG	GCACATGT	GATCA	AAGACA	420
QУ	454	TCCAGTTCGGA'									513
Db	421	TCCAGTTCAGA:	rcccaaa	TTAAATA	TTCTTGTC	CTAAAG	GGAI	ACCGACT	CATTG	GTTCCT	480
		CGTCTGCCACA:		$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \;$		111111		+++++++	11111	$\square \square \square \square \square$	
		CGTCTGCCACA!									
~-1		GTGACAGAATTA		$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		111111		+++++++	11111	$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; $	
		GTGACAGAATT									
		GCAGAGAGTAT!		$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		111111		+++++++		$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; $	
		GCAGAGAGTAT!									
_		GGAAAAAGGTG'				111111					
		AAGTGGGCATC									
				1111111	11111111	111111		+++++++	11111	$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; $	
		CAAATGTGGAAA									
		CAAATGTGGAA		$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \; \;$		111111		+++++++		$ \; \; \; \; \; \; \; $	
		TTGTGGAGTTT									
		TTGTGGAGTTTA		$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		11111	$\Box\Box$	+++++++		++++++	
		AGGCCCTGAACA	AAATGGG	AGCCAGA	GTTACCAA	GCTGCI	rcc a	\GGGTATG'	TC A GC	CACCTC	

Application/Control Number: 10/669,920 Art Unit: 1643

ad	901	AGGCCCTGAACAAATGGGAGCCAGAGTTACCAAGCTGCTCCAGGGTATGTCAGCCACCTC	960
QУ	994	CAGATGTCCTGCATGCTGAGCGTACCCAAAGGGACAAGGACAACTTTTCACCCGGGCAGG	1053
Db	961	${\tt CAGATGTCCTGCATGCTGAGCGTACCCAAAGGGACAAGGACAACTTTTCACCCGGGCAGG}$	1020
Оу :	1054	AAGTGTTCTACAGCTGTGAGCCCGGCTACGACCTCAGAGGATCTACGTATTTGCACTGCA	1113
Db :	1021	${\tt AAGTGTTCTACAGCTGTGAGCCCGGCTACGACCTCAGAGGATCTACGTATTTGCACTGCA}$	1080
Qy :	1114	CACCCCAGGGAGACTGGAGCCCTGCAGCCCCCAGATGTGAAGTGAAATCCTGTGATGACT	1173
Db :	1081	$\tt CACCCCAGGGAGACTGGAGCCCTGCAGCCCCCAGATGTGAAGTGAAATCCTGTGATGACT$	1140
Qy :	1174	TCCTGGGCCAACTTCCTAATGGCCATGTGCTATTTCCACTTAATCTCCAGCTTGGAGCAA	1233
Db :	1141	${\tt TCCTGGGCCAACTTCCTAATGGCCATGTGCTATTTCCACTTAATCTCCAGCTTGGAGCAA}$	1200
Qy :	1234	AAGTGGATTTTGTTGTGATGAAGGATTTCAATTAAAAGGCAGCTCTGCTAGTTACTGTG	1293
Db :	1201	AAGTGGATTTTGTTGTGATGAAGGATTTCAATTAAAAGGCAGCTCTGCTAGTTACTGTG	1260
Qy :	1294	TTTTGGCTGGAATGGAAAGCCTTTGGAATAGCAGTGTTCCAGTGTGTAAACGTAAATCAT	1353
Db :	1261	$\tt TTTTGGCTGGAATGGAAAGCCTTTGGAATAGCAGTGTTCCAGTGTGTGAACGTAAATCAT$	1320
Qy :	1354	GTGAAACTCCTCCAGTTCCAGTGAATGGCATGGTGCATGTGATCACAGACATCCATGTTG	1413
Db :	1321	GTGAAACTCCTCCAGTTCCAGTGAATGGCATGGTGCATGTGATCACAGACATCCATGTTG	1380
Qy :	1414	GATCCAGAATCAACTATTCTTGTACTACAGGGCACCGACTCATTGGTCACTCATCTGCTG	1473
Db :	1381	${\tt GATCCAGAATCAACTATTCTTGTACTACAGGGCACCGACTCATTGGTCACTCATCTGCTG}$	1440
Qy :	1474	AATGTATCCTCTCGGGCAATACTGCCCATTGGAGCATGAAGCCACCAATTTGTCAAC 153	30
Db :	1441	AATGTATCCTCTCGGGCAATACTGCCCATTGGAGCATGAAGCCACCAATTTGTCAAC 14	97

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed

before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is

determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre
AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. The rejection of claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85, 91 and 92 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Qi et al. (Abstract from British Journal of Cancer 69(5): 903-910, 1994) is maintained.

Applicants assert cripto-1 (CR-1) referenced in the prior art is distinct from complement receptor type 1 (CR1) listed in the claims, see Remarks

submitted November 18, 2009, page 6. Applicants state Attachment A supports the claimed differences between CR-1 and CRI and consequently respectfully request removal of the instant rejection. These points of view and arguments have been carefully considered, but found unpersuasive.

Attachment A, a reference by Watanabe did not accompany Applicants' arguments. Applicants' assertions and statements are not found persuasive. Applicants' statements do not replace scientific facts and said statements should be corroborated by scientific evidence. For the reasons of record the rejection is maintained.

9. The rejection of claims 91 and 92 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saeki et al. (Cancer Research 52: 3467-3473, June 15, 1992) is maintained.

Applicants assert cripto-1 (CR-1) referenced in the prior art is distinct from complement receptor type 1 (CR1) listed in the claims, see Remarks submitted November 18, 2009, page 7. Applicants state Attachment A supports the claimed differences between CR-1 and CRI and consequently respectfully request removal of the instant rejection. These points of view and arguments have been carefully considered, but found unpersuasive.

Attachment A, a reference by Watanabe did not accompany Applicants' arguments. Applicants' assertions and statements are not found persuasive. Applicants' statements do not replace scientific facts and said statements

Application/Control Number: 10/669,920

Art Unit: 1643

should be corroborated by scientific evidence. For the reasons of record the rejection is maintained.

Page 9

10. The rejection of claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85, 86, 91 and 92 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cassart/ U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2004/0054142 A1 (effective filing date August 4, 2003) is maintained.

Applicants assert cripto-1 (CR-1) referenced in the prior art is distinct from complement receptor type 1 (CR1) listed in the claims, see Remarks submitted November 18, 2009, page 7. Applicants state Attachment A supports the claimed differences between CR-1 and CRI and consequently respectfully request removal of the instant rejection. These points of view and arguments have been carefully considered, but found unpersuasive.

Attachment A, a reference by Watanabe did not accompany Applicants' arguments. Applicants' assertions and statements are not found persuasive. Applicants' statements do not replace scientific facts and said statements should be corroborated by scientific evidence. For the reasons of record the rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The rejection of claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85-89 and 91-93 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Qi et al. (Abstract from British Journal of Cancer 69(5): 903-910, 1994), and further in view of Cassart/ U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2004/0054142 A1 (effective filing date August 4, 2003) and Olsen/ U.S. Patent 6,852,506 B1 (filed April 11, 1997) is maintained.

Applicants assert cripto-1 (CR-1) referenced in the prior art is distinct from complement receptor type 1 (CR1) listed in the claims, see Remarks submitted November 18, 2009, page 7. Applicants state Attachment A supports the claimed differences between CR-1 and CRI and consequently respectfully request removal of the instant rejection. These points of view and arguments have been carefully considered, but found unpersuasive.

Attachment A, a reference by Watanabe did not accompany Applicants' arguments. Applicants' assertions and statements are not found persuasive. Applicants' statements do not replace scientific facts and said statements

Art Unit: 1643

should be corroborated by scientific evidence. For the reasons of record the rejection is maintained.

Double Patenting

13. The provisional rejection of claims 61, 71, 72, 74, 77-79, 81, 85-89 and 91-93 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 42, 43, 44 and 49 of copending Application No. 10/573,332 (filed April 6, 2007) is maintained and made.

Applicants have renewed their request this rejection be held in abeyance until an indication of allowable subject matter is indicated, see page 8 of the Remarks submitted of November 18, 2009. This point of view has been carefully considered and the rejection is maintained for the reason within and set forth previously in the Action mailed November 19, 2007.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The Examiner works a *flexible schedule*, however she can normally be reached Monday through Saturday between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1643

0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. 25 January 2010

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643